REMARKS

Applicants amend claim 1 to include the allowable features of claim 21 and amend claim

Attorney Docket No.: Q76413

7 to include the allowable features of claim 22. Accordingly, claims 1, 3, 5-9, 11, 13, 15-18 and

20 and 23 are all the claims pending in the application.

Statement of Substance of Interview

During a telephonic interview conducted on February 18, 2010, Applicants'

representative and the Examiner discussed claim 1. In particular, Applicants' representative

asserted that Kwan and Davidson did not teach or suggest the features of "the evaluating the

index comprises comparing the index of each optimal group code vector with indices of other

optimal group code vectors" and "the comparing of the index of each optimal group code vector

is different from a comparison between the group code vectors", as recited in claim 1. In

response, the Examiner maintained that Kwan in FIG. 6 and page 344-345 allegedly does

disclosed these features of claim 1. No agreement was reached.

It is respectfully submitted that the instant STATEMENT OF SUBSTANCE OF

INTERVIEW complies with the requirements of 37 C.F.R. §§1.2 and 1.133 and MPEP §713.04.

Claim rejections under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a)

Claims 1, 3, 5-9, 11, 13, 15-18, 20 and 23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as

allegedly being unpatentable over Kwan et al. ("Implementation of DSP-RAM: An architecture

for parallel Digital Signal Processing in Memory," 2001) in view of Davidson et al. (U.S. Patent:

4,868,867; hereinafter "Davidson"). Applicants traverse the rejection as follows.

9

AMENDMENT UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 1.116 AND STATEMENT OF SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW

Application No.: 10/617,210

Without conceding to the merits of the rejection of claims 1, 3, 5-9, 11, 13, 15-18, 20 and

Attorney Docket No.: Q76413

23 and only to expedite the prosecution of the above-identified application, Applicants amend

claims 1 and 7 to include the allowable features of claims 21 and 22, respectively. As such,

claims 1 and 7 are allowable over the cited combination of references. Also, claims 3, 5, 6, 8, 9,

11, 13, 15-18, 20 and 23 are allowable at least by virtue of their dependency and the additional

features recited therein.

Conclusion

In view of the above, reconsideration and allowance of this application are now believed

to be in order, and such actions are hereby solicited. If any points remain in issue which the

Examiner feels may be best resolved through a personal or telephone interview, the Examiner is

kindly requested to contact the undersigned at the telephone number listed below.

The USPTO is directed and authorized to charge all required fees, except for the Issue

Fee and the Publication Fee, to Deposit Account No. 19-4880. Please also credit any

overpayments to said Deposit Account.

Respectfully submitted,

/Ebenesar D. Thomas/

SUGHRUE MION, PLLC Telephone: (202) 293-7060

Telephone: (202) 293-7060 Facsimile: (202) 293-7860

WASHINGTON OFFICE
23373
CUSTOMER NUMBER

Date: February 18, 2010

Ebenesar D. Thomas Registration No. 62,499

10